



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,578	09/17/2003	Reggie V. Aquino	45565-0012	3633
7590 01/18/2006			EXAMINER	
Douglas A. Mullen Dickinson Wright PLLC Suite 800 1901 L Street N.W. Washington, DC 20036			HAMILTON, ISAAC N .	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
DATE MAILED: 01/18/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/664,578	Applicant(s) AQUINO ET AL.	
	Examiner Isaac N. Hamilton	Art Unit 3724	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Isaac N. Hamilton. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Rick Jones. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 January 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Simon et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)


THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Simon et al reference was discussed with respect to claim 1. Mr. Jones asserted that the reference is non-analogous. The Examiner asserted that claim 1 is broad, and that the elements shown in figure 1 of Simon et al read on the limitations of claim 1. Moreover, Mr. Jones asserted that the limitation of the first and second biasing assemblies urging said tool holder to a centered position is not met because it is not explicitly stated in the disclosure of Simon et al. The Examiner remarked that the elastomer blocks 4 and 12 are inherently resilient due to the known properties of elastomers, and that the elastomer blocks 4 and 12 would urge the tool holder to a centered position due to the symmetry of the elastomer blocks about tool holder 10 as shown in the figures of Simon et al. It was noted that claim 1 does not have the limitation of "for trimming" as is recited in line 1 of allowable claim 10 .



KENNETH E. PETERSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER